WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

١	,	
١	,	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Javier Antonio Rojas-Rocha Case Nu	ımber:		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 19, 2011. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
, , ,	eponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	у	years imprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably DIRECTIONS REGARDING			
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S defendant to the IT IS C deliver a copy Court.	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gener facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awai defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for priva States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the partner of the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance APPEALS AND THIRD PARTORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be y of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be	al or his, ing or so te cons person in in conn 'Y REL illed with at least	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. LEASE th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to one day prior to the hearing set before the District dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial	
Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.				

DATED this 20th day of April, 2011.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge